

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec. 1450 Abasandia, Virginia 22313-1480 tww.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/698,661 10/27/2000 Sydney R. Rader 660005.99621 5573 7590 11/25/2003 EXAMINER David G. Ryser SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD Quarles and Brady LLP 411 East Wisconsin Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497 1761

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					-iI
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	<i>i</i> :L-
		09/698,66	31	RADER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
			Sherrer, Esq.	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the state will apply and wi e. cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/2</u>	<u>28/03</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers	, 0.00001111	squirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.			
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachment 1) ⊠ Notice	:(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [] Interview S	OTO 442) Bar N-(-)	
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/698,661

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoelle et al. (USPN 3,222,181)("Hoelle").

Hoelle teaches the use of spent hops residue that remain after the separation of solvent which contains tannin material and other plant products, such cellusoses, pectin, albumin, organic, etc. (Col. 4, line 55 to col. 5, line 4). These products are recovered using a hot water extract, which is then evaporated and the tannins, etc. are recovered and possibly concentrated (col. 6, lines 21-45). While Hoelle goes on to advantageously add the recovered hop products to the hop principles, i.e., acids, the intermediate product anticipates the claimed products. With regard to the rejected process claims, because they do not exclude the addition of other ingredients, these claims are also anticipated. With regard to claims that recited the use of specific solvents, these are process limitations that are given no weight, as the prior art product is the same as that which would be obtained using the claimed solvents.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/698,661

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoelle.

Hoelle teaches that cited above, including the concentration of the intermediate hop product, but does not teach that the product is dried. It is notoriously well known that perishable products are dried to enhance shelf life and therefore it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to dry the hop residue extract of Hoelle.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications

Application/Control Number: 09/698,661

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner November 14, 2003